My dear Bill,

Thank you for your letter and telegram. Airmailed proofs have not yet been received, and I therefore doubt that it will be possible to send them back to the Editor directly in time. I am enclosing the list of corrections, hoping that they can be incorporated even at the proof stage, at least the most important of them. The Editor will perhaps realize that writing a paper with a certain hurry, by two, or rather, three people at the corners of a traiggle London-Milan-Wisconsin is not an easy matter, and some corrections should be permissible. The best will therefore be that you embody these corrections (hoping they reach you in time) in the copy in your hands and send them to the Editor.

I am rather ashamed for all the trouble that this paper costs you. As to offprints, I should ordersix hundred and should be grateful if the bill could be made to my name at the address of the Institute; as if the editor wants the reprints to be paid by someone resding in Gt. Britain, perhaps I should ask you to anticipate this sum and I shall care that this sum be refunded to you. The same could happen also in case the editor does not mind there the money comes from, but you are interested in having a small fund waiting your arrival next year for the Congresses. It would, however, help me if I could have the bill addressed to the Institute.

The cars have come; they are beautiful. They are still practically unknown in this country. Thank you wind Mrs? Hayes for them.

I shall write more diffusely soon about work; at the moment I want this letter sent as soon as possible. I was greatly interested by your last letter.

Yours ever

Well you from let in them all the expuses int which
you have incurred for sulgramy, aimaching etc.

## LIST OF CORRECTIONS TO JGM PAPER

Pages refer to yellow manuscript in my hands.

- p.6, lines 19-22, delete sentence: "Aeration was carried out either by rolling the tubes (Milan) or by bubbling air thorugh the medium ".
- p.6 line 18, insert : "(by rolling)" after the word "agration".
- p.9 line 12. Instead of "enzyme inhibitors(...)", write: "inhibitors of enzymes(....)".

## pridrines.9.10xxinsteedxofiperhapexmoreximportants;writexxxxx pridrxinexia

- p.12, lines 13-21 (given as lines 21-29 page 9 of the manuscript in Lederberg's hands). Lederberg believes this is too near the American version and should be possibly paraphrased. Have you any suggestions which would not alter the number of lines?
- p.19 line 22. Instead of "prototrophs" write "zygotes".
- p. 20, lines 2-3. Instead of: (assuming this as the physical basis of the observed exchanges), write: (assuming this is the basis of the observed genetic exchanges). This should not alter the following lines.
- p. 22, line 16. Instead of strain W 977; write: "strain W 945".

## p. 22, line 17. Instead of: "S-Mal-1 yl-Gal-Lac-Ara-TL-" write: "S-Mal-Xyl-Gal-Lac-(Ara-TL)."

According to the less drastic alteration, the following corrections should be made:

p.24 line 17. Instead of: "Noclearcut exception has been found to the rule that," write: "Hfr forms an apparent exception to the rule that,". In

p. 24 line 19. Instead of: "This would mean" write: "This rule would mean".

Note: in the transformation thus suggested, the room gained in the first line is exactly correspondent to that lost by adding the word "rule" in the third line, so that only the first three lines of this paragraph need be rewritten.

p. 27, last PAR. I can here suggest two alternatives, a more drastic one and a less drastic. The more drastic might alter the number of pages and therefore may have to be discarded on this ground.

p. 27, line 15. Instead of : XXXXXEEEXXEEE "Two hypotheses, based on " write: "At least one hypothesis, based on".

P. 27 line 16-17: delete sentence: "the first is that".

- p. 27, line 19. Instead of: "There is at present no evidence to" write: "There is at present no definite evidence to".
- p. 27, last three lines; delete all the text after the word "reduction", which will therefore terminate this paragraph.
  p. 28 delete first two lines.
- p.28 line 3 , Instead of "The second hypothesis would suppose" write: "Another possibility is that there is". The new sentence has the same length as the old one.
- p. 28, lines 13-16. Instead of "This second hypothesis, however, etc". Write: "This interpretation however does not agree well with some features of the data in table 2, which need not be discussed in this place, so that, at the moment, the hypothesis of segmental elimination remains the more attractive.

The more drastic alteration has in common with the first the correction of the last par.of page 27. I rewrite here for clarity this paragraph, as it should look like after correction:

"As to the effects of F+ on segregation, it is obvious that further analyses of linearity of the chromosome (the physical basis of the linkage group) in <a href="Bact.coli">Bact.coli</a> K-12 will have to take them into consideration. At least one hypothesis, based on Mendelian theory, can be put forward to account for them: the elimination of a specific segment of the chromosome contributed by the F+ parent may take place regularly at every fertilisation. There is at present no definite evidence to suggest whether such elimination might occur during formation of the F+ gametic cell, furing fertilisation, or at the ensuing reduction."

With the here drastic alteration, this paragraph would end the paper and all the rest would be deleted.

With the exception of the correction at page 6, which alters the number of lines and may be impossible at page-proof stage, and the corrections of the last two paragraphs which are some what extensive but anxietxative example the last ones, all the corrections given should not alter the number of lines or the lines coming after the text which has been corrected so that there should be no great difficulty experienced in incorportating them into text.